# Predicting whether Visitors Purchase after only one Click

Ayaan Gopalan · Tori Wang · Xi Wu · Ethan Young
Team Overfitters



# Project Objective



### Goal

Predict if a visitor to the Fingerhut site will make a purchase using only the data from their first server call

### Challenge

Over 95% of visits do not result in a purchase, which presents difficulties in creating an accurate model

# Application

Immediately
generate predictions
about **every visitor**to the Fingerhut site
and tailor different
marketing strategies
for each one

# Project Roadmap






#### **Objective**

Identify likely
purchasers using only
their first server call



#### **Feature Selection**

3-step procedure to select important features and narrow down large dataset



#### **Neural Network**

Train a neural network and compare results to baseline



#### **Results**

Different marketing strategies depending on the prediction



#### **Future Work**

Optimize model and improve feature selection to increase accuracy

# Feature Selection (1/2)



Extracted the **first server calls** from all visits in the original dataset, then employed a **3-step feature selection** process to narrow down the variables:



# Variables with missing values

Variables with at least 95% missing values were removed



#### Variance Threshold

Variables where at least 99% of the values were similar were removed



#### Random Forest Feature Importance

Trained a random forest classifier and extracted the top 20 variables in terms of feature importance

<sup>\*</sup> More details about feature selection process are in the Technical Details slides

# Feature Selection (2/2)

#### **Final Data Set**

The final subsetted data set consisted of **20 variables** from all of the first server calls, and a **binary variable** denoting if a visit resulted in a purchase

Random Forest Feature Importance: Top 5 Variables

IP address

Last Member ID value

Pipeline Session ID

List of events triggered

Last platform value

IMPORTANCE	FEATURE
0.236056	ip
0.195540	post_evar23
0.102365	prop34
0.085137	eventlist
0.080618	post_evar13

### Models

We implemented 2 models using the dataset with the 20 most important variables:

#### Logistic Regression (Baseline)

- Trained with class weight balances
- Fast computation, but struggles with imbalanced data

#### **Shallow Neural Network**

- Trained using undersampled data
- More flexible model that performs comparatively better




Diagram of the neural network (A detailed version is in the Technical Details slides)

# Applications of Results

#### Plug in data collected in real time to pre-trained model to make predictions

- ☐ Possible strategy based on if a user will:
  - **Purchase**: optimize search results and offer promotional codes based on subsequent page visits
  - **Not purchase**: show personalized homepage with targeted item recommendations

#### Retrain neural network seasonally using data from the previous year

- ☐ Opportunity to understand how customer behavior can vary throughout the year
- Using a larger dataset helps train a more robust model that predicts more consistently and with more confidence

<sup>\*</sup> Details of model performance are in the Technical Details slides

### Limitations & Future Work

#### **Data**

- ☐ Only 1 week of data limits applicability of results
- ☐ Features selected are predictive, but may not always be of practical interest
- Incorporate 2nd, 3rd, etc server calls to utilize more data as visitors spend more time on the site

#### **Models**

- Methods addressing class (purchase / no purchase) imbalance introduce bias
- □ Neural networks are flexible,but lack interpretability
- Optimize neural network to improve model accuracy and confidence of predictions

# Summary



#### Project Objective Revisited



- → Can we predict which users will make a purchase using only their first server call?
- → Key challenge of most users not making a purchase

# Feature Selection and Models



- → 3-step feature selection and addressed the data imbalance
- → Results suggest variables in the first server call contains strong insights about the likelihood of purchase

# Sales and Advertising Strategy



- → Real time prediction to more accurately target different types of visitors with different strategies
- → Opportunity to retrain model with seasonal data

# Thank you!

# Technical Details

# Feature Selection (1/4)




#### **Variables with Missing Values**


Because very few visits result in a purchase, we wanted to select variables that **returned** non-null values in the purchase visit first server calls

Step 1: Calculated the proportion of null values in the first server calls of purchase visits

Step 2: Graphed the distribution of null values in all the variables in a histogram

Step 3: Examining the histogram, we selected 95% or greater null values as the cutoff for values to remove





### Feature Selection (2/4)

#### Variance Threshold

We wanted to **remove variables that return the same value almost every time**, as they are likely not as predictive of visit outcomes

Step 1: After removing variables from last step, converted null values in the remaining variables into their own category

Fixes any missing values issues, and could unlock useful information in variables

Step 2: Calculated the variance of these variables

Step 3: Removed all variables with a variance of 0.01 or lower (99% or more of the values are similar)

#### **Removed Variables**

brandcode

homepage

excludehit

postcookies

postjavaenabled

postpersistentcookie

javascript

visitpagenum

prevpage

duplicatepurchase

newvisit

clicksourceid

# Feature Selection (3/4)



#### **Random Forest Classifier**


After subsetting based on missing values and variance, we wanted to further subset variables **based on their predictivity of visit outcomes** 

Step 1: Trained a Random Forest Classifier on a undersampled data set of remaining variables

- Scaled the numerical variables between 0 and 1
- Encoded the categorical variables with target encoding

Step 2: Graphed the cumulative feature importance of the variables

Step 3: Examining the graph, selected the top 20 variables which captured about 94% of the feature importance



# Feature Selection (4/4)

#### **Final Data Set**

FEATURE	IMPORTANCE
ip	0.177563
post_evar23	0.109907
prop34	0.109703
eventlist	0.095082
post_evar13	0.092875
crosssoldproduct	0.087115
evar23	0.061504
zip	0.036025
warrantycategory	0.034149
geocity	0.026755

FEATURE	IMPORTANCE
pageurl	0.022166
emailsubscriptionremove	0.018416
post_evar12	0.010415
useragent	0.008990
evar24	0.008817
post_evar30	0.008073
post_evar24	0.007258
pagename	0.007069
post_evar33	0.005333
institutionid	0.005331

# Logistic Regression (1/3)

#### **Basics**

In (binary) classification, **logistic regression** is a regression technique that outputs a value between 0 and 1 (the input is labeled 0 if the output < 0.5 and 1 if > 0.5)

Mathematically, logistic regression is the logarithm of the odds of an event:

$$\log \left( \frac{p(y=1)}{1 - p(y=1)} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + ... + \beta_m x_m$$

#### 3 key assumptions:

- Independent observations
- No multicollinearity
- No outliers




Figure from this site

# Logistic Regression (2/3)

#### **Training and Testing Sets**

Variables used in this model were the **20 most important features** extracted during feature selection

Variables were then converted to numeric variables using **ordinal encoding** and then scaled, with outliers removed from the scaled dataset

**Note**: Our training and testing datasets were limited by issues working on computing clusters and the difficulty handling the large dataset on our personal computers

- Size of **training set** (sampled from day 1): **46657** 
  - # users that purchase: 2439
  - # users that do not purchase: 44218
- Size of **testing set** (sampled from day 6): **20000** 
  - # users that purchase: **1051**
  - # users that do not purchase: **18949**


Our model was trained using a dataset that had size: 4878. This is due to the fact that we used class weight balances in order to prevent our model from only predicting 0 or "no purchase". This does result in some bias in our model.

# Logistic Regression (3/3)

#### **Results**


Test set accuracy rate: 0.6505

Confusion Matrix of Logistic Regression



Variable Coefficients: (20 variables coded)





# Neural Network (1/9)

#### **Basics** (1/3)

In (binary) classification, a (feedforward) **neural network** sends data through (at least one) layers of computation (i.e., matrix multiplications) to output a value between 0 and 1 (the input is labeled 0 if the output < 0.5 and 1 if > 0.5)

Conceptually, the **layers** of a neural network extract qualities of the input data  $\mathbf{X}$  that allow the model to distinguish between classes

- Each layer is composed of a predetermined # of nodes with connections between them (called **weights**) that have randomly chosen values (initialized before training)
- The weights between layers compose a weight matrix W

The **biases B** in each layer shift the activation function and influence the output values, not the input data

- The number of biases equals the number of weights in the hidden layers and the output layer (in our case, we have 64+128+1=**193** biases)

# Neural Network (2/9)

#### **Basics** (2/3)

An **activation function** f(z) (refer to this <u>site</u>) is applied in all layers except the input

- They apply nonlinearity by forcing input values to between 0 and 1

Mathematically, a 2-layer feedforward network can be written as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = f(f(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \mathbf{B}^{(1)})\mathbf{W}^{(2)} + \mathbf{B}^{(2)})\mathbf{W}^{(3)} + \mathbf{B}^{(3)})$$

 $\hat{y}$  is the prediction, f the activation function, X the input data

The **output**  $\hat{y}$  can be interpreted as the probability a user will purchase (if > 0.5) or not (if < 0.5)

**Backpropagation** (refer to this <u>site</u>) is the process of pushing the weights of a neural network toward the values that maximize model accuracy

- This is done with an optimization algorithm (e.g., gradient descent)
- In our case, we use backpropagation with the Adam algorithm (refer to this <u>site</u>)

# Neural Network (3/9)

#### **Basics** (3/3)

Intuitively, the **hyperparameters** of a neural network are predetermined, adjustable settings that determine how the model performs before data are inputted

- Examples: # layers, # weights in each layer, choice of activation function

To gauge model performance, we use both **accuracy** and 'area under the ROC curve' (**AUC**) (see this <u>site</u>)

- The AUC considers unbalanced class distributions

**Dropout** is a regularization technique that prevents overfitting (refer to this <u>site</u>)


- It does so by ignoring a percentage of the weights during training

The **loss function** is **binary cross-entropy** (refer to this <u>site</u>)

- The model optimizes the weights that minimize this value

# Neural Network (4/9)





# Neural Network (5/9)

#### **Training and Testing Sets**

Feature selection follows from the main presentation (<u>slide 4</u>) and is also explained in the Logistic Regression Technical Details

Instead of class weights, we oversampled users that do not purchase so the data we use slightly resembles the original data

- Size of **training set** (sampled from days 0–5): **34116** 
  - # users that purchase: **14058**
  - # users that do not purchase: 20058
- Size of **testing set** (sampled from day 6): **4908** 
  - # users that purchase: **1954**
  - # users that do not purchase: 2954


# Neural Network (6/9)

#### **Current Hyperparameters**

- # hidden layers: 2
  - # nodes: **64**, **128** 
    - Total # trainable parameters (incl. biases): **9793**
  - Dropout rates: **0.1**, **0.2**
- Batch size: 1024
- # epochs: **4000**
- Optimizer: Adam (AMSGrad variant)
  - Step size: **0.001**
- Activation function: **sigmoid**
- Loss function: **binary cross-entropy**

### Neural Network (7/9)

#### Results (1/2)



# Neural Network (8/9)

Results (2/2)



# Neural Network (9/9)

#### Hyperparameter Optimization

Our future work involves performing hyperparameter tuning using grid search with the *NNI* Python package (refer <a href="here">here</a> for an overview of *NNI*)

Below is a table of the ranges of 8 hyperparameters we want to test

# Epochs	500 1000 2000 4000
Batch Size	32 64 128 256 512 <mark>1024</mark>
Step Size	0.00075
# Nodes in Layer 1	32 64 128 256
Dropout Value in Layer 1	0.1 0.2 0.3
# Nodes in Layer 2	32 64 <mark>128</mark> 256
Dropout Value in Layer 2	0.1 0.2 0.3
Activation Function	<mark>sigmoid</mark> tanh relu

( indicates values used for results in the main presentation)